Message

From: Bujak, Charissa [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=B7145378C12F43DF9E2BF70E7D951196-BUJAK, CHAR

Sent: 6/5/2017 1:57:08 PM

To: Thiesing, Mary [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=b7b594716a844c65bd55c43a6b033f58-Thiesing, Mary Ann]; Shaw, Hanh

[/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=60509321022b49a39f6f6f8df62858de-Shaw, Hanh]; Somers, Elaine

[/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=e9245abe34c643e2bb029f63da78af84-Somers, Elaine]

Subject: RE: US 95: ThornCrk_Moscow_FS & Briefing Info_6.5.2017
Attachments: Final.edits_US 95 Thorncreek - Moscow Fact Sheet 6-5-2017.docx

Hi folks,

Thanks for your patience with the email traffic. Mary Anne thanks for the final word-smith comments. Attached is final version with Mary Anne's edits accepted.

Cheers,

Charissa Bujak Biologist U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10, Boise ID Ops Office 950 W. Bannock St. Boise, ID 83702 Phone: (208) 378-5754

Email: bujak.charissa@epa.gov

From: Thiesing, Mary

Sent: Monday, June 05, 2017 7:31 AM

To: Bujak, Charissa <bujak.charissa@epa.gov>; Shaw, Hanh <Shaw.Hanh@epa.gov>; Somers, Elaine

<somers.elaine@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: US 95: ThornCrk_Moscow_FS & Briefing Info_6.5.2017

Hi Charissa,

Very nice job, especially with the attachments—the 1-km overlays of buffer for the 3 alignments really demonstrates why the local folks object to the proposed alignment. I had a couple of word-smith comments, but other than that, I think this looks like a good. Well done.

Mary Anne

From: Bujak, Charissa

Sent: Sunday, June 04, 2017 5:22 PM

To: Shaw, Hanh < Shaw. Hanh@epa.gov >; Somers, Elaine < somers. elaine@epa.gov >; Thiesing, Mary

<Thiesing.Mary@epa.gov>

Subject: US 95: ThornCrk_Moscow_FS & Briefing Info_6.5.2017

Good afternoon Hanh and Elaine,

Thanks for your time! Mary Anne has kindly helped me put together the US 95 Thorncreek to Moscow fact sheet, and additional material, for David's perusal during our Tuesday discussion (thanks Mary Anne). The fact sheet utilizes a format which Mary Anne suggested and the material provided in the briefing package is the supporting documentation to help lead the discussion.

Attached for your review/edits are: 1) draft Fact Sheet, and 2) Complete Briefing Package (including: Public Notice, Project Overview Map, Tabular Comparison of Alternatives, and Remnant Palouse Prairie Vegetation Impacts).

Please feel free to send any edits/ suggestions back or change at will!

Additional things to briefly note with attached information:

- 1) Table 8. Summary of Alternatives' Benefits and Effects from the Final Environmental Impact Statement (July, 2015) shows different numbers than what is shown in the public notice. For example, the public notice states that 5 drainages, total linear ft. of 2,592 will be impacted for E-2 whereas the public notice states that 5 drainages, total linear ft. of 4,290 will be impacted.
- 2) The vegetation maps showing the impacts to Palouse prairie habitat are from the DEIS Vegetation Report in 2005 and used again in the FEIS published in 2015. As this vegetation report reflects impacts described in the 2005 DEIS, and the impacts in the 2017 public notice are different, it is not clear if these maps accurately reflect the potential impact.

Thanks! Cheers,

Charissa Bujak Biologist U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10, Boise ID Ops Office 950 W. Bannock St. Boise, ID 83702 Phone: (208) 378-5754

Email: bujak.charissa@epa.gov